.)			PAI	DC
QUERY CONTROL FORM			RTIS U	SE ONLY
Application No. 10/092,551	Prepared by	N.H.	Tracking Number	05882034
Examiner-GAU Sanghavi - 2874	Date	1-30-4	Week Date	12/29/03
KNIAME SCOTT	No. of gueries		IJFW	

JACKET						
a. Serial No.	f. Foreign Priority	k. Print Claim(s)	p. PTO-1449			
b. Applicant(s)	g. Disclaimer	I. Print Fig.	q. PTOL-85b			
c. Continuing Data	h. Microfiche Appendix	m. Searched Column	r. Abstract			
d. PCT	i. Title	n. PTO-270/328	s. Sheets/Figs			
e. Domestic Priority	j. Claims Allowed	o. PTO-892	t. Other			
)—————————————————————————————————————		^				

	302
SPECIFICATION	MESSAGE
a. Page Missing	Claim 20 (original 30) depends on
b. Text Continuity	itself Please Advise.
c. Holes through Data	
d. Other Missing Text	
e. Illegible Text	
f. Duplicate Text	·
g. Brief Description	
h. Sequence Listing	
i. Appendix	
j. Amendments	•
k. Other	
CLAIMS	
a. Claim(s) Missing	
6. Improper Dependency	Thankyon
c. Duplicate Numbers	
d. Incorrect Numbering	initials V.H.
e. Index Disagrees	RESPONSE
f. Punctuation	Srom
g. Amendments	See attach Manier for
h. Bracketing	the purpose of Correcting dependency
i. Missing Text	or claim 31! Atty molified by Telephone
j. Duplicate Text	
k. Other	1,00
	initials AUG

USPTO 2/12/2004 2:04 PM PAGE 2/003 Fax Server):Bernadette Queen COMPANY:

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Fuencias de la	10/092,551	MUKAI, KOHKI
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
-	Scott Alan Knauss	2874
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Scott Alan Knauss</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Lau, Attorney for applicant.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		-
Claims discussed:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
31		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		CAMA O DICCULOCED
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Examiner informed applicant of improper dependency of claim		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview
		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Claim 30 (new): An optical switch according to claim 29, wherein the second multimode interferometer changes intensities of optical signals exiting from the first output port and the second output port of the second multimode interferometer, when the refractive index of the first optical waveguide is changed and phase of one of optical signals propagating through the first optical waveguide and the second optical waveguide is delayed from phase of the other optical signal.

Claim 31 (new): An optical switch according to claim 3, wherein the second multimode interferometer has a shape line-symmetrical to the first multimode interferometer about an imaginary straight line connecting a middle point of the first optical waveguide and a middle point of the second optical waveguide.